

Impact of half-wave plate systematics on the measurement of cosmic birefringence from CMB polarization

Marta Monelli

Max Planck Institut für Astrophysik Garching (Germany)

May 23th, 2023

Inhomogeneities at photon decoupling imprint anisotropies on the CMB.



Inhomogeneities at photon decoupling imprint anisotropies on the CMB.



new physics from CMB polarization

Inflation-sourced tensor perturbations are expected to leave a distinctive signature (*B*-modes) on CMB polarization.

This is driving the development of a number of new missions:

- Simons Observatory,
- South Pole Observatory,
- CMB Stage-4,
- LiteBIRD.



new physics from CMB polarization

- Inflation-sourced tensor perturbations are expected to leave a distinctive signature (*B*-modes) on CMB polarization.
- This is driving the development of a number of new missions:
 - Simons Observatory,
 - South Pole Observatory,
 - CMB Stage-4,
 - LiteBIRD.



 Parity-violating physics could also imprint features on CMB polarization.

image credit: LiteBIRD Collaboration (2022) PTEP

signatures of parity violation

signatures of parity violation

Coupling a pseudoscalar χ to EM via a Chern-Simons term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = -\frac{lpha}{4f} \chi F_{\mu
u} \widetilde{F}^{\mu
u},$$

with $F_{\mu
u} \propto \epsilon^{\mu
u
ho\sigma} F_{
ho\sigma}$, makes
+ and – photon helicity
states propagate differently:

$$A_{\pm}^{\prime\prime}+\left(k^{2}\mprac{klpha\chi^{\prime}}{f}
ight)A_{\pm}^{\prime}=0.$$

signatures of parity violation

Coupling a pseudoscalar χ to EM via a Chern-Simons term:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} &= -\frac{\alpha}{4f} \chi F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \\ \text{with } F_{\mu\nu} \propto \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}, \text{ makes} \\ + \text{ and } - \text{ photon helicity} \\ \text{states propagate differently:} \end{aligned}$$

$$A_{\pm}^{\prime\prime}+\left(k^{2}\mprac{klpha\chi^{\prime}}{f}
ight)A_{\pm}^{\prime}=0.$$



image credit: Yuto Minami

why "cosmic birefringence"?

Birefringence: property of a material whose refractive index depends on the polarization and propagation direction of light.



Thinner slabs, normal incidence: no double refraction, only retardance.



Both optical and cosmic birefringence rotate polarization vectors.

why "cosmic birefringence"?

Birefringence: property of a material whose refractive index depends on the polarization and propagation direction of light.



Thinner slabs, normal incidence: no double refraction, only retardance.



(this is a half-wave plate, by the way)

Both optical and cosmic birefringence rotate polarization vectors.

effect in harmonic space



Mixing of *E* and *B* modes:

$$\begin{cases} a_{\ell m, \text{obs}}^{E} = a_{\ell m}^{E} \cos 2\beta - a_{\ell m}^{B} \sin 2\beta, \\ a_{\ell m, \text{obs}}^{B} = a_{\ell m}^{E} \sin 2\beta + a_{\ell m}^{B} \cos 2\beta. \end{cases}$$

image credit: Yuto Minami

hints of cosmic birefringence

hints of cosmic birefringence

Minami and Komatsu (2020) Phys. Rev. Lett. 125

measuring polarization

describing polarization: Stokes vectors



describing polarization: Stokes vectors



describing polarization: Stokes vectors



Mueller calculus: radiation described as S = (I, Q, U), effect of polarization-altering devices parametrized by \mathcal{M} so that $S' = \mathcal{M} \cdot S$.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{pol}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(2\theta) & \sin(2\theta) \\ 0 & -\sin(2\theta) & \cos(2\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots$$

Given two optical elements in series with \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 , their combined effect can be described by $\mathcal{M}_2\mathcal{M}_1$.

Matrix methods are extremely convenient to manipulate polarization, since one does not work with the electromagnetic field itself.



This method can lead to detection of spurious polarization.

How will next generation CMB experiments deal with this?

- □ LiteBIRD,
- □ Simons Observatory,
- □ South Pole Observatory,
- CMB Stage-4.

How will next generation CMB experiments deal with this?

- ✓ LiteBIRD,
- Simons Observatory,
- ✓ South Pole Observatory,
- ✓ CMB Stage-4.

They all plan to employ rotating half-wave plates (HWPs) as polarization modulators.

the HWP: reducing systematics



A rotating half-wave plate (HWP) as first optical element can help to control systematics.



























incoming

The intrinsic signal is modulated to 4f_{HWP} and can be distinguished from spurious signal (no/different modulation). For an ideal HWP, $\mathcal{M}_{ideal} = diag(1, 1, -1, -1)$, but let's look at a realistic case:



How does this affect the observed maps?

Giardiello et al. (2022) A&A 658

modeling the HWP effect

how to propagate systematics





image credit: Planck collaboration

how to propagate systematics



TOD: collection of the signal detected by *each of the* (4508) *detectors* during *the whole* (3-year) *mission*.

image credit: Planck collaboration

how to propagate systematics



TOD: collection of the signal detected by *each of the* (4508) *detectors* during *the whole* (3-year) *mission*.

Simulating and **modeling** TOD is crucial in the planning of any CMB experiment: it helps studying potential systematic effects. To focus on the impact of **HWP non-idealities** we consider a simplified problem:

- no noise,
- single frequency,
- CMB-only,
- simple beams,
- HWP aligned to the detector line of sight.

(minimal) TOD: signal detected by 4 detectors.

$$\begin{pmatrix} d^{(0)} \\ d^{(90)} \\ d^{(45)} \\ d^{(135)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1\ 1\ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{0-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{HWP}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \\ (1\ 1\ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{90-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{HWP}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \\ (1\ 1\ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{45-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{HWP}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathsf{in}} \\ Q_{\mathsf{in}} \\ U_{\mathsf{in}} \end{pmatrix}$$



(minimal) TOD: signal detected by 4 detectors.





modeling the observed maps

map-maker: bin-averaging $\widehat{S} = (\widehat{A}^T \widehat{A})^{-1} \widehat{A}^T A \cdot S$ assuming ideal HWP.

$$\widehat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} (1 \ 1 \ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{ideal}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \\ (1 \ 1 \ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{90}-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{ideal}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \\ (1 \ 1 \ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{45}-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{ideal}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \\ (1 \ 1 \ 0) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{135}-\phi} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{ideal}} \mathcal{R}_{\phi+\psi} \end{pmatrix}$$



estimated ouput maps

$$\begin{split} \widehat{I} &= m_{ii} l_{in} + (m_{iq} Q_{in} + m_{iu} U_{in}) \cos(2\alpha) + (m_{iq} U_{in} - m_{iu} Q_{in}) \sin(2\alpha) ,\\ \widehat{Q} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ (m_{qq} - m_{uu}) Q_{in} + (m_{qu} + m_{uq}) U_{in} + 2m_{qi} l_{in} \cos(2\alpha) + 2m_{ui} l_{in} \sin(2\alpha) \\ &+ [(m_{qq} + m_{uu}) Q_{in} + (m_{qu} - m_{uq}) U_{in}] \cos(4\alpha) \\ &+ [-(m_{qu} - m_{uq}) Q_{in} + (m_{qq} + m_{uu}) U_{in}] \sin(4\alpha) \Big\} ,\\ \widehat{U} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ (m_{qq} - m_{uu}) U_{in} - (m_{qu} + m_{uq}) Q_{in} - 2m_{ui} l_{in} \cos(2\alpha) + 2m_{qi} l_{in} \sin(2\alpha) \\ &+ [-(m_{qq} + m_{uu}) U_{in} + (m_{qq} - m_{uq}) Q_{in}] \cos(4\alpha) \\ &+ [(m_{qu} - m_{uq}) U_{in} + (m_{qq} - m_{uu}) Q_{in}] \sin(4\alpha) \Big\} , \end{split}$$

where $\alpha = \phi + \psi$. For good coverage and rapidly spinning HWP:

$$\widehat{\mathsf{S}}\simeq egin{pmatrix} m_{ii}\,l_{
m in}\ [(m_{qq}-m_{uu})Q_{
m in}+(m_{qu}+m_{uq})U_{
m in}]/2\ [(m_{qq}-m_{uu})U_{
m in}-(m_{qu}+m_{uq})Q_{
m in}]/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Expanding \widehat{S} in spherical harmonics:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{TT} &\simeq m_{ii}^2 C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{TT}, \\ \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{EE} &\simeq \frac{(m_{qq} - m_{uu})^2}{4} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EE} + \frac{(m_{qu} + m_{uq})^2}{4} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{BB} + \frac{(m_{qq} - m_{uu})(m_{qu} + m_{uq})}{2} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EB}, \\ \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{BB} &\simeq \frac{(m_{qq} - m_{uu})^2}{4} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{BB} + \frac{(m_{qu} + m_{uq})^2}{4} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EE} - \frac{(m_{qq} - m_{uu})(m_{qu} + m_{uq})}{2} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EB}, \\ \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{TE} &\simeq \frac{m_{ii}(m_{qq} - m_{uu})}{2} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{TE} + \frac{m_{ii}(m_{qu} + m_{uq})}{2} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EB}, \\ \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{EB} &\simeq \frac{(m_{qq} - m_{uu})^2 - (m_{qu} + m_{uq})^2}{4} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EB} - \frac{(m_{qq} - m_{uu})(m_{qu} + m_{uq})}{2} (C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{EE} - C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{BB}), \\ \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{TB} &\simeq \frac{m_{ii}(m_{qq} - m_{uu})}{2} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{TB} - \frac{m_{ii}(m_{qu} + m_{uq})}{2} C_{\ell,\text{in}}^{TE}. \end{split}$$

analytical vs simulated output spectra



impact on cosmic birefringence

Analytic \widehat{C}_{ℓ} s satisfy the relations: $\begin{cases} \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{EB} \simeq \tan(4\widehat{\theta}) \left[\widehat{C}_{\ell}^{EE} - \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{BB} \right] / 2 \\ \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{TB} \simeq \tan(2\widehat{\theta}) \widehat{C}_{\ell}^{TE} \end{cases}$

> The HWP induces an additional miscalibration, degenerate with cosmic birefringence and polarization angle miscalibration!



The HWP induces an additional miscalibration, degenerate with cosmic birefringence and polarization angle miscalibration!

This doesn't mean that the HWP will keep us from measuring β , but it shows how important it is to carefully calibrate \mathcal{M}_{HWP} .

conclusions and outlook

- much information is still hidden in CMB polarization (for instance, cosmic birefringence as a signature of parity-violating physics),
- new physics can be probed only if systematics are well under control,
- a rotating HWP can help, but it induces additional systematics which should be accounted for (HWP-induced miscalibration),
- we are now provided with an analytical model and a simulation pipeline that can be used to study the impact of the HWP in more realistic scenarios. this is key for the planning of the next generation of CMB experiments.